Favipiravir Observational Study Interim Report 3 (as of February 28, 2021)

Favipiravir Observational Study Group, Fujita Health University

Introduction

Since the SARS-CoV-2 pandemic started a year ago, the virus has caused over four hundred thousand cases of COVID-19 and over nine thousand deaths in Japan. At the time of this writing, the antiviral agent favipiravir (brand name: Avigan) is undergoing clinical development as a potential treatment options for COVID-19.

In the meantime, compassionate use of favipiravir to hospitalized patients with COVID-19 is allowed at the discretion of the hospitals since February 2020. Hospitals are asked to register cases for which favipiravir was administered to the antiviral agent observational study conducted by Fujita Health University. This is the third report of COVID-19 cases treated with favipiravir and registered to this observational study.

Methods

Favipiravir is provided to medical institutions admitting patients who are eligible for the off-label use from the manufacturer and vendor FUJIFILM Toyama Chemical Co., Ltd., after a request for off-label use of favipiravir is made to the Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare by medical institutions and the requirements are met¹⁾. This study is conducted as a retrospective study to collect clinical information when favipiravir is administered as part of clinical practice. The information collected on the case report form and approach to data analysis have been described in the previous report²⁾.

This study is approved by the Institutional Review Board of Fujita Health University.

Results

[Overview]

As of February 28 2021, a total of 10,986 patients who received favipiravir were registered from 765 hospitals. Of these patients, the patient demographics, clinical status at Day 7, clinical status at Day 14, and clinical outcome at approximately 1 month after hospital admission were available for 10,903, 9,782, 7,655, and 10,659 patients, respectively. This study utilizes a survey function, thus only limited data cleaning has been performed.

[Patient demographics]

The age distribution, sex, presence or absence of underlying disease (diabetes, cardiovascular diseases, chronic lung diseases, and immunosuppression), and use of other antiviral agents are shown in Table 1. In terms of demographics, 59.5% were age ≥ 60 years, and 63.4% were male. At least one of the four surveyed comorbidities (diabetes, cardiovascular diseases, chronic lung diseases, and immunosuppression) was present in 48.3% of the patients. These rates are similar from the previous report.

[Administration of favipiravir]

Administration of favipiravir is shown in Table 2. In 93.7% of the patients, favipiravir was dosed at two doses of 1,800 mg followed by 800 mg twice a day. The median duration of treatment was 9 days, compared with 12 days in the previous report. The difference likely reflected a change in the discharge criteria that occurred in the meantime, in which the requirement for two negative PCR test results was removed. The median days from the positive PCR test and hospital admission to the initiation of favipiravir therapy were 2 and 0 days, respectively.

[Severity of illness]

In this study, mild, moderate, and severe diseases at the start of favipiravir are defined as those not requiring supplemental oxygen, those with spontaneous respiration but requiring supplemental oxygen, and those requiring artificial respiration or extracorporeal membrane oxygenation, respectively. By this definition, 6,772 patients (61.6%) had mild disease, 3,695 patients (33.6%) had moderate disease, and 519 patients (4.7%) had severe disease. The proportion of mild disease increased by 16.4 percentage

points, whereas those of moderate and severe diseases decreased by 9.6 and 6.9 percentage points, respectively, suggesting that favipiravir is increasingly used for patients with mild disease.

Table 1. Demographics of patients with COVID-19 who received favipiravir

Variables	Categories	n	(%)
Age group (n=10,985)	<10	5	(0.0%)
	10-19	33	(0.3%)
	20-29	319	(2.9%)
	30–39	588	(5.4%)
	40-49	1,359	(12.4%)
	50 - 59	2,143	(19.5%)
	60-69	2,137	(19.5%)
	70-79	2,359	(21.5%)
	80-89	1,597	(14.5%)
	≥90	445	(4.1%)
Sex (n=10,984)	Male	4,017	(36.6%)
	Female	6,967	(63.4%)
Diabetes (n=10,944)	Present	2,747	(25.1%)
	Absent	8,197	(74.9%)
Cardiovascular diseases (n=10,937)	Present	2,587	(23.7%)
	Absent	8,350	(76.3%)
Diabetes or cardiovascular diseases (n=10,952)	Present	4,378	(40.0%)
	Absent	6,574	(60.0%)
Chronic lung diseases (n=10,942)	Present	1,134	(10.4%)
	Absent	9,808	(89.6%)
Immunosuppression (n=10.933)	Present	642	(5.9%)
rr	Absent	10.291	(94.1%)
Any of the above comorbidities (n=10.949)	Present	5.285	(48.3%)
	Absent	5.664	(51.7%)
Ciclesonide (n=10.645)	Given	4.211	(39.6%)
	Not given	6.434	(60.4%)
Lopinavir-ritonavir (n=10.986)	Given	89	(0.8%)
	Not given	10.897	(99.2%)
Hydroxychloroquine (n=10.986)	Given	222	(2.0%)
	Not given	10.764	(98.0%)
Nafamostat (n=10.986)	Given	960	(8.7%)
	Not given	10.026	(91.3%)
Camostat (n=10 986)	Given	389	(3.5%)
	Not given	10 597	(96.5%)
Remdesivir (n=10.986)	Given	855	(7.8%)
	Not given	10 131	(92.2%)
Devamethasone (n=10.986)	Given	3 420	(31.1%)
	Not given	7 566	(68.9%)
Methylprednisolone (n=10.986)	Given	833	(7.6%)
	Not given	10 153	(92.4%)
Tocilizumah (n=10 986)	Given	444	$(4\ 0\%)$
	Not given	10.542	(96.0%)
Outcome $(n=10,659)$	Died in hospital	852	(8.0%)
S 4000 m 10,000/	Transferred for escalation of care	683	(6.4%)
	Still in hospital (alive)	449	(4.2%)
	Transferred for de-escalation of care	969	(9.0%)
	Discharged alive	7 713	(72.4%)
	Dischargen anve	1,110	(14.17/0/

Table 2. Administration of favipiravir

n	Dosing			n(%)
10,918	2 doses of 1,	0 406		
	mg twice a d	lay		(3.7%)
	2 doses of 1,	800 mg foll	lowed by 80	0 10,235
	mg twice a d	lay		(93.7%)
	Others			277
				(2.5%)
(b) D n	uration of fav Median	Q1 Q1 (25%)	Q3 (75%)	
10,210	9	6	13	
(c) D	ays from posi	itive PCR t	o first dose	of favipiravir
	Madian	Q1	$\mathbf{Q3}$	
n	median	(25%)	(75%)	
10,887	2	1	4	

(d) Days from hospital admission to first dose of favipiravir n Median Q1 Q3 (25%) (75%)

0

2

0

10,877

ľ	Clinical	course	and	outcome	by	severity	of
di	sease						

The clinical course at 7 and 14 days after the start of favipiravir therapy was evaluated as improved, worsened, or unchanged. The rates of clinical improvement at 7 and 14 days were 72.6% and 86.5%, 63.4% and 77.2%, and 46.6% and 60.4%

for mild, moderate, and severe diseases, respectively (Table 3). The rates of clinical worsening at 7 and 14 days were 13.8% and 7.0%, 23.1% and 16.1%, and 26.1% and 25.1% for mild, moderate, and severe diseases, respectively.

The clinical outcome was assessed at approximately 1 month into hospitalization as discharged alive, died in hospital, transferred for de-escalation of care, transferred for escalation of care, or still in hospital. The mortality rates within a month from hospitalization were 3.6%, 13.2%, and 27.6% for mild, moderate, and severe diseases, respectively. The mortality rates have decreased since the previous report.

[Clinical course and outcome by age group]

The clinical course and outcome based on age groups are shown in Table 4. Both the clinical course and outcome were poor in older patients. The mortality rate was 1.6% in the 50-59 age group, whereas the rates were 4.9%, 10.3%, 22.2%, and 28.9% in the 60-69, 70-79, 80-89, and \geq 90 age groups, respectively. Thus, deaths continued to occur more commonly among those with advanced age, but the rates have declined across all these age groups.

Table 3. Clinical status and outcome stratified by	severity of illness in patients	who received favipiravir
--	---------------------------------	--------------------------

(b) At 14 days a		ipiravir	start of fav	days after	(a) At 7
d	Worsened	Unchanged	Improved		
Day 14 Mi	841	833	4,436	Mild	Day 7
(n=7,655)	(13.8%)	(13.6%)	(72.6%)		(n=9,782)
Mode	741	433	2,034	Moderate	
)	(23.1%)	(13.5%)	(63.4%)		
Sev	121	127	216	Severe	
)	(26.1%)	(27.4%)	(46.6%)		
) (n=7,655) Mode) Sev	(13.8%) 741 (23.1%) 121 (26.1%)	(13.6%) 433 (13.5%) 127 (27.4%)	(72.6%) 2,034 (63.4%) 216 (46.6%)	Moderate Severe	Day 7 n=9,782) M

(c) Clinical outcome 1 month from hospital admission

		Died in hospital	Transferred for escalation of care	Still in hospital (alive)	Transferred for de- escalation of care	Discharged alive
Outcome	Mild	233	324	246	423	5,290
(n=10,659)		(3.6%)	(5%)	(3.8%)	(6.5%)	(81.2%)
	Moderate	479	335	173	396	2,252
		(13.2%)	(9.2%)	(4.8%)	(10.9%)	(62%)
	Severe	140	24	30	143	171
		(27.6%)	(4.7%)	(5.9%)	(28.1%)	(33.7%)

[Adverse events]

A total of 3,324 adverse events were reported in association with favipiravir use in 2,841 of 10,986 patients (Table 5). Adverse events reported in >1% of the patients were uric acid level increase or hyperuricemia in 1,960 patients (17.8%), liver disorder or liver function enzyme increase in 834 patients (7.6%), and skin eruption or toxicoderma in 129 patients (1.2%). The adverse event rates by age groups are shown in Figure 1. They were reported more commonly in younger age groups, and hyperuricemia was reported most frequently in those between 20 and 39.

Table 4. Clinical status and outcome stratified by age group in patients who received favipiravir

(a) At 7 days after start of favipiravir			(b) At 14 days after start of favipiravir						
		Improved	Unchanged	Worsened			Improved	Unchanged	Worsened
Day 7	<10	2	0	0	Day 14	<10	1	0	0
(n=9,782)		(100%)	(0%)	(0%)	(n=7,655)		(100%)	(0%)	(0%)
	10 - 19	25	3	0		10 - 19	15	3	0
		(89.3%)	(10.7%)	(0%)			(83.3%)	(16.7%)	(0%)
	20 - 29	255	26	8		20 - 29	193	12	5
		(88.2%)	(9%)	(2.8%)			(91.9%)	(5.7%)	(2.4%)
	30 - 39	450	55	35		30 - 39	382	15	11
		(83.3%)	(10.2%)	(6.5%)			(93.6%)	(3.7%)	(2.7%)
	40 - 49	980	144	117		40 - 49	839	45	30
		(79%)	(11.6%)	(9.4%)			(91.8%)	(4.9%)	(3.3%)
	50 - 59	1508	201	238		50 - 59	1394	73	63
		(77.5%)	(10.3%)	(12.2%)			(91.1%)	(4.8%)	(4.1%)
	60 - 69	1324	253	324		60 - 69	1258	88	137
		(69.6%)	(13.3%)	(17%)			(84.8%)	(5.9%)	(9.2%)
	70 - 79	1253	354	465		70 - 79	1261	137	251
		(60.5%)	(17.1%)	(22.4%)			(76.5%)	(8.3%)	(15.2%)
	80 - 89	716	261	398		80 - 89	755	108	265
		(52.1%)	(19%)	(28.9%)			(66.9%)	(9.6%)	(23.5%)
	≥ 90	173	96	118		≥ 90	174	55	85
		(44.7%)	(24.8%)	(30.5%)			(55.4%)	(17.5%)	(27.1%)

(c) Clinical outcome 1 month from hospital admis	sion
--	------

		Diad in haanital	Transferred for	Still in hospital	Transferred for de-	Dischanged alive
		Died in nospital	escalation of care	(alive)	escalation of care	Discharged allve
Outcome	<10	0	0	1	1	1
(n=10,659)		(0%)	(0%)	(33.3%)	(33.3%)	(33.3%)
	10 - 19	0	0	0	1	29
		(0%)	(0%)	(0%)	(3.3%)	(96.7%)
	20 - 29	1	5	3	28	276
		(0.3%)	(1.6%)	(1%)	(8.9%)	(88.2%)
	30 - 39	3	19	21	31	498
		(0.5%)	(3.3%)	(3.7%)	(5.4%)	(87.1%)
	40 - 49	8	67	10	83	1,153
		(0.6%)	(5.1%)	(0.8%)	(6.3%)	(87.3%)
	50 - 59	34	124	39	113	1,773
		(1.6%)	(6%)	(1.9%)	(5.4%)	(85.1%)
	60 - 69	102	164	67	163	1,567
		(4.9%)	(7.9%)	(3.2%)	(7.9%)	(76%)
	70 - 79	238	203	131	247	1,483
		(10.3%)	(8.8%)	(5.7%)	(10.7%)	(64.4%)
	80 - 89	344	93	134	221	758
		(22.2%)	(6%)	(8.6%)	(14.3%)	(48.9%)
	≥ 90	122	8	43	74	175
		(28.9%)	(1.9%)	(10.2%)	(17.5%)	(41.5%)

Table 5 Adverse events associated with favipiravir use

Table 5 Adverse events associated with fa	vipirav	vir use	Stomatitis	9	(~
n=10,986			Noutropopia	2	$\langle \rangle$
Number of patients with adverse events	0.041	(05 00/)	Sturbe	2	
associated with favipiravir use	2,841	(25.9%)	Abdominal nain	2	(<
Number of adverse events associated	0.004		Chast discomfont	2	
with favipiravir use	3,324		Thromboombolism	2	(<
(breakdown)			Abnormal linid values	2	(<
Hyperuricemia/elevated uric acid levels	1,960	(17.8%)	Restlessness/nsvchotic symptoms	2	(<
Hepatic function disorder/elevated liver	834	(7.6%)	Worsening of pneumonia	2	(<
function enzyme levels			Pancytopenia	2	(<
Rash/toxicoderma/eczema/purpura/	129	(1.2%)	Gastrointestinal symptoms	2	(<
erythema/skin damage			Elevated ALD values	1	(<
Fever	67	(0.6%)	Elevated D-dimer values	1	(<
Renal impairment/elevated creatinine	46	(0.4%)	Elevated eGFR values	1	(<
levels	43	(0.4%)	Elevated TLC values	1	(<
Diarrhea/soft stool	41	(0.4%)	Dizziness	1	(<
Vomiting/nausea	17	(0.2%)	Lymphadenitis	1	(<
Bradycardia	14	(0.1%)	Altered mental status	1	(<
Gout	13	(0.1%)	Elevated inflammatory test levels	1	(<
Poor appetite	12	(0.1%)	Jaundice	1	(<
Hyperkalemia	8	(0.1%)	Lower extremity numbness	1	(<
Rhabdomyolysis/elevated creatine			Arthritis	1	(<
kinase levels	8	(0.1%)	Arthralgia	1	(<
Leukocytopenia	7	(0.1%)	Pseudomembranous colitis	1	(<
Abnormal coagulation test values	7	(0.1%)	Hypertension	1	(<
Constipation	6	(0.1%)	Angina	1	(<
Pruritus	6	(0.1%)	Dyspnea	1	(<
Thrombocytopenia	4	(<0.1%)	Worsening of respiratory failure	1	(<
Elevated BUN levels	4	(<0.1%)	Oral candidiasis	1	(<
Dizziness	4	(<0.1%)	Lip swelling	1	(<
Gastric discomfort	4	(<0.1%)	Visual field defect	1	(<
Thrombocytosis	4	(<0.1%)	Periodontal bleeding	1	(<
Malaise	4	(<0.1%)	Epigastric pain	1	(<
Headache	3	(<0.1%)	Dehydration	1	(<
Lymphocytopenia	3	(<0.1%)	Hypoxemia	1	(<
Hypernatremia	3	(<0.1%)	Hyponatremia	1	(<
Convulsion	3	(<0.1%)	Electrolyte abnormalities	1	(<
Eosinophilia	3	(<0.1%)	Sepsis	1	(<
Elevated amylase levels	3	(<0.1%)	Possible lung damage	1	(<
Hyperglycemia	3	(<0.1%)	Diaphoresis	1	(<
Melena	2	(<0.1%)	Myodesopsia	1	(<
Elevated LDH levels	2	(<0.1%)	Anemia	1	(<
Hiccup	2	(<0.1%)	Congested heart failure	1	(<
Worsening of underlying disease	2	(<0.1%)	Drowsiness	1	(<

Fig.1. Adverse event rates by age group



Discussion

The observational study is being conducted to overview the safety and efficacy of favipiravir against COVID-19 in patients who were administered the agent as off-label use since March 2020. With over ten thousand cases registered, this is one of the largest databases on the use of favpiravir for COVID-19.

A notable difference compared with the last interim report that included cases up to June 2020 is the higher proportion of patients with mild disease not requiring supplemental oxygen, now in excess of 60%. Furthermore, mortality rates have declined for each severity and age group, which may reflect advances in supportive therapy and other pharmacological interventions, as well as inclusion of more patients deemed to have better prognosis.

The common adverse events associated with favipiravir use continue to be uric acid level increase and liver function enzyme increase, and the incidence rates remain stable. Also, increase in the uric acid levels was more common in younger age groups.

Finally, early embryonic lethality and teratogenicity due to favipiravir have been observed in animal models. Pregnant women therefore must be excluded, and all patients and their sexual partners should practice effective contraception during and after the treatment period in reference to the "Guidelines for Drug Therapy for COVID-19."³⁾

Acknowledgements

We thank all hospitals and healthcare providers across Japan who provided the clinical data for this study.

Favipiravir Observational Study Group, Fujita Health University

Yohei Doi and Masashi Kondo (Faculty of Medicine, Fujita Health University)

Masahiko Ando and Yachiyo Kuwatsuka (Department of Advanced Medicine, Nagoya University Hospital)

Takuma Ishihara (Innovative and Clinical Research Promotion Center, Gifu University Hospital)

This research was supported by AMED under Grant Numbers JP19fk0108150 and JP20fk0108150.

文 献

 厚生労働省.新型コロナウイルス感染症に対するアビ ガン(一般名:ファビピラビル)に係る観察研究の概要及 び同研究に使用するための医薬品の提供について. https://www.mhlw.go.jp/content/000627594.pdf.
 Published 2020. Accessed.

2) Favipiravir Observational Study Group FHU.

Favipiravir Observational Study Interim Report 2 (as of June 26, 2020).

https://www.kansensho.or.jp/uploads/files/topics/2019nc ov/covid19_favip_eng_0928.pdf. Published 2020. Accessed.

3)日本感染症学会.COVID-19 に対する薬物治療の考え方第7版(2021年2月1日)

https://www.kansensho.or.jp/uploads/files/topics/2019nc ov/covid19_drug_210201.pdf. Published 2021. Accessed.